## PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

RFB\# 2023-026

| Date Submitted: | May 30, 2023 | Procurem | Request for Bids (RFB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitted by: | Brian Davis | Length of Advertising: | Standard (15+) days |
| Supplier Name: | Indoff Incorporated | Source Selection Method: | Lowest Evaluated Bidder |
| Description: | Traffic, K-9 \& Coroner Facility Furniture | Fair Price Determination: | Adequate Price Competition |
| Agreement Type: | PSA - Standard | Not Low Bid Award: | N/A - Lowest Evaluated Price Awarded |
| Contract Number: | 026-2023-00 | County Business: | No County Businesses Responded |
| Contract Category: | General Services | Submissions Received: | 7 Vendors Contacted: 35 |
| Contract Term: | Other - See Notes | RFP/RFQ Selection Criteria Used in Selection Process |  |
| Contract Value: | 138,015.43 | Weight | Evaluation Criteria |
| Contract Options/Renewals: | None | 100\% | st of Item(s) and/or Services |
| Source of Funds: | SPLOST |  |  |
| Debarred/Suspended: | No (screen-print on file) |  |  |
| SAM Requirement: | Registration Not Required |  |  |
| BOC Meeting Prepared for: | June 6, 2023 |  |  |


| Bidder/Proposing Business | Place of Business | Evaluated Price |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indoff Incorporated | St. Louis, MO. | $\$$ |  |  |  |
| Impact Office Interiors | Griffin, GA. | $\$$ |  |  |  |
| Interior Space Consultants, Inc. | Dacula, GA. | $138,015.43$ |  |  |  |
| 5 Star Office Furniture, Inc. | Avondale Estates, GA. | $138,141.00$ |  |  |  |
| Global Office Furniture | Myrtle Beach, SC. | $138,451.18$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\$$ |  |  |  |
| *Important Price Evaluation Notes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cherokee County Procurement issued RFB 2023-026 to 35 Vendors and received 5 responsive bids. Indoff Incorporated was the lowest evaluated <br> bid and is therefore recommended for award. The price range from low to high bid was less than \$20K. Four of the five bids were within \$260 <br> dollars of each other indicating adequate price competition. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Important Considerations

There were two other firms that submitted bids which did not comply with all the RFB requirments and therefore determined to be nonrepsonsive

